

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,695	12/30/2003	Byung Kee Kim	1315-049 2857 EXAMINER		
22429 7.	590 09/15/2006				
LOWE HAUPTMAN BERNER, LLP			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P		
SUITE 300	1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/747,695	KIM ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		George P. Wyszomierski	1742					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1 and 6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>2-5,7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 C					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/747,695 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. Claim 8 is objected to because in line 3 of this claim, the word "gas" should be deleted from its present position and inserted prior to the word "produced".

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pirzada et al. (U.S. Patent 5,788,738).

Pirzada discloses a process of producing nanoscale powders that includes obtaining a precursor of the desired material, vaporizing at least a portion of the precursor, and condensing the vaporized material to form nanoscale powders by quenching in a zone of low pressure.

With respect to claim 6, the condensing is performed in an inert atmosphere such as argon or helium when metals are being produced; see Pirzada column 10, line 26.

Pirzada does not specifically disclose forming tungsten by such a process. However,
Pirzada is directed to the production of metals in general, and includes examples directed to the
production of several metals as well as to tungsten oxide. Further, Pirzada column 3, lines 3335 indicates that prior art methods are <u>not</u> useful for making refractory metals, implying that the
Pirzada process <u>would</u> be more useful in this regard. Thus, it is a reasonable assumption that
the production of tungsten powder would fall within the purview of the Pirzada process. Thus, a
prima facie case of obviousness is established between the disclosure of Pirzada et al. and the
presently claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,695 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

4. In a response filed July 13, 2006, Applicant suggests that the claimed process can be distinguished from the prior art because there is no indication that the Pirzada process is at lower than atmospheric pressure, as required by the instant claims. The examiner respectfully disagrees; column 8, line 42 of Pirzada indicates that control of the rate at which pressure is reduced is an integral part of the prior art process, and each of the examples of Pirzada employ a significant pressure drop.

- 5. Claims 2-5, 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose or suggest a process as claimed and which employs a precursor as recited in instant claim 2, which separates a desired component at a temperature of 500-1500.deg.C as in instant claim 7, or which includes condensing a gas onto a surface of a cooler at a temperature below 0.deg.C as in claim 8.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/747,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the <u>central facsimile number</u>, (571)-273-8300. This Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 11700

GPW September 12, 2006